



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,554	02/15/2002	Chad A. Cobbley	MTI-31591	3265

22202 7590 09/17/2009  
WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S C  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT  
555 EAST WELLS STREET, SUITE 1900  
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202

EXAMINER
----------

TRINH, HOA B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2893

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

09/17/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomailbox@whdlaw.com  
jpolmatier@whdlaw.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/077,554	<b>Applicant(s)</b> COBBLEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HOA B. TRINH	<b>Art Unit</b> 2893	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HOA B. TRINH. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. Kristine Strodthoff. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The RCe filed on 09/04/2009 has been withdrawn from entering because the previous Office Action was not a Final Office Action. The examiner has reconsidered the restriction requirement filed on 08/21/2009, and an Office Action on the merit will be sent out soon.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/(Vikki) Hoa B Trinh/ Examiner, Art Unit 2893	
--------------------------------------------------	--